

Semiclassical backreaction around a nearly spinning cosmic string

Vitorio A. De Lorenci^{1,2,*} and Edisom S. Moreira, Jr.^{1,3,†}

¹*Instituto de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Federal de Itajubá,
Av. BPS 1303 Pinheirinho, 37500-903 Itajubá, MG, Brazil*

²*PH Department, TH Unit, CERN, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*

³*The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London, Prince Consort Road, London SW7 2AZ, U.K.*

(Dated: November, 2008)

This paper investigates semiclassical backreaction of a conformally coupled massless scalar field on the geometrical background of a nearly spinning cosmic string — the spin density is smaller than, but arbitrarily close to, the dislocation parameter. As the spin density approaches the dislocation parameter, it is shown that an ergoregion spreads indefinitely around the cosmic string, boosting along the string axis the once static observers. Considering that the geometrical background contains closed timelike curves when the spin density exceeds the dislocation parameter, it is argued that the appearance of the ergoregion may be part of a chronology protection mechanism that takes place in related non stationary geometries.

PACS numbers: 04.62.+v, 04.20.Gz, 11.27.+d

I - Introduction. Cosmic strings are objects which may play relevant role in astrophysics, cosmology, and fundamental physics [1, 2]. It has long been noticed that such objects offer a rich arena to investigate the interplay between non trivial global geometry and quantum field theory [3, 4]. Since gravitational fields generated by cosmic strings correspond to locally flat backgrounds (geometrical analogs of the Aharonov-Bohm setup), calculations usually turn out to be simpler than those in locally curved spacetime, leading to quantum effects due to a nonvanishing global curvature. Recent investigations on quantum fields around cosmic strings have addressed massive fields, higher spins, various dimensions and boundary conditions, among other issues (see, e.g., [5]).

As is well known, the geometry of spacetime outside an ordinary cosmic string is given by the line element [1, 6],

$$ds^2 = d\tau^2 - dr^2 - \alpha^2 r^2 d\theta^2 - d\xi^2, \quad (1)$$

where the disclination parameter α is related to the mass density μ of the straight string by $\alpha = 1 - 4\mu$ (units as in [4] will be used, i.e., $G = c = 1$). The coordinates in Eq. (1) have the same nature as those in the Minkowski line element (when expressed in terms of cylindrical coordinates), with an important difference that Eq. (1) hides a conical singularity at $r = 0$, corresponding to a deficit angle $2\pi(1 - \alpha)$, if $\mu \neq 0$. According to the physics of formation of ordinary cosmic strings, α is very close to one [1, 2]. It should be remarked that words such as “disclination” and “dislocation” have been borrowed from condensed matter physics, where geometrical aspects also appear (see, e.g., [7]).

The metric tensor in Eq. (1) is cylindrically symmetric and invariant under boosts along the symmetry axis [1, 6]. Definition of a new angle as,

$$\varphi := \alpha\theta \quad \varphi \sim \varphi + 2\pi\alpha, \quad (2)$$

clearly shows that Eq. (1) corresponds to a locally flat vacuum solution of the Einstein equations. If the requirement of boost invariance is relaxed, one is led to a generalization of Eq. (1) [8, 9, 10],

$$ds^2 = (d\tau + Sd\theta)^2 - dr^2 - \alpha^2 r^2 d\theta^2 - (d\xi + \kappa d\theta)^2, \quad (3)$$

containing two new parameters (which will be taken to be non negative): the spin density S , and the dislocation parameter κ . When $S > \kappa$, Eq. (3) shows that the associated spacetime contains closed timelike curves (CTCs), and therefore violates causality [taking $d\tau = dr = d\xi = 0$ in Eq. (3), CTCs are obtained if $r < \sqrt{S^2 - \kappa^2}/\alpha$]. The locally flat character of Eq. (3) is revealed by considering Eq. (2), $T := \tau + S\theta$ and $\Xi := \xi + \kappa\theta$, resulting

$$ds^2 = dT^2 - dr^2 - r^2 d\varphi^2 - d\Xi^2. \quad (4)$$

Setting $\kappa = 0$ in Eq. (3) yields the geometry around a “spinning cosmic string” [8], whose terminology has to do with the fact that, by omitting the last term in Eq. (3), the resulting line element corresponds to the geometry around a particle with spin S in $(2 + 1)$ -dimensions [11] (clearly both cases present CTCs). Setting instead $S = 0$, Eq. (3) becomes the line element corresponding to a “cosmic dislocation” [9].

In fact, when $S \neq \kappa$, Eq. (3) describes either a cosmic dislocation, or a spinning cosmic string. For $S < \kappa$, the following Lorentz transformation in the $\tau - \xi$ plane,

$$t = \frac{\tau - v\xi}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}, \quad z = \frac{\xi - v\tau}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}, \quad v := S/\kappa, \quad (5)$$

leads to

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - dr^2 - \alpha^2 r^2 d\theta^2 - (dz + \kappa' d\theta)^2, \quad (6)$$

describing the geometry of a cosmic dislocation with dislocation parameter

$$\kappa' := \sqrt{\kappa^2 - S^2}. \quad (7)$$

If, on the other hand, $S > \kappa$, replacing v in Eq. (5) by κ/S , Eq. (3) is recast as

$$ds^2 = (dt + S'd\theta)^2 - dr^2 - \alpha^2 r^2 d\theta^2 - dz^2, \quad (8)$$

corresponding to a spinning cosmic string with spin density $S' := \sqrt{S^2 - \kappa^2}$.

The fact that vacuum fluctuations typically diverge when CTCs are about to form (for a review see [12]) has led to the chronology protection conjecture [13], according to which the laws of physics do not allow the appearance of “time machines” (if vacuum fluctuations are strong, backreaction effects could modify the original geometry preventing the formation of CTCs). Although Eq. (3) describes a stationary geometry, the parameters can be adjusted in order to simulate a scenario where CTCs were about to form, namely, considering $S < \kappa$ and taking $S \rightarrow \kappa$. This approach has been used in [14], showing that the vacuum expectation value of the energy momentum tensor of a massless scalar field diverges in the coordinate systems of Eqs. (3) and (4), when $S \rightarrow \kappa$. However, it remains finite when expressed in terms of the coordinates in Eq. (6) (this is expected since, when $\kappa' \rightarrow 0$, Eq. (6) approaches the line element of an ordinary cosmic string, for which vacuum fluctuations behave well [3]) [15]. It might appear that the divergent effect in the coordinate system of Eq. (3) is purely due to some relativistic factor coming from Eq. (5); but that is not the case. The expressions for vacuum fluctuations in the background of a cosmic dislocation carry a certain function which presents a mild divergence when its argument vanishes. The transformation from the coordinates in Eq. (6) to those in Eq. (3) activates this divergence. As has been shown in [14], if the mentioned function were not divergent for a vanishing argument, as S approached κ the vacuum expectation value of the energy momentum tensor in the coordinate system of Eq. (3) would remain finite, suggesting violation of chronology protection.

At first sight the study of the “strong” backreaction effects on the metric tensor in Eq. (3) seems to be intractable (the procedure possibly becomes not reliable by refeeding Einstein’s equations with “strong” vacuum fluctuations). However, taking into account the fact that backreaction on the metric tensor in Eq. (6) is “weak” [4, 16], one could first solve the problem in the coordinate

system of Eq. (6), then translating the results to that of Eq. (3), via Eq. (5). This approach will be implemented in the following sections.

It should be added that vacuum fluctuations in the geometry of a spinning cosmic string [17, 18] [cf. Eq. (8)] are pathological due to the presence of CTCs (the corresponding spacetime is nonglobally hyperbolic, and the usual quantization procedures lead to divergent vacuum fluctuations in all frames [18]). CTCs also spoil unitarity of quantum mechanics in the corresponding (2+1)-dimensional geometry [19].

In the next section, the study of semiclassical backreaction around a cosmic dislocation [16] is extended, and used in the following section to compute quantum corrections in the metric tensor in Eq. (3), when S approaches κ from below (“nearly spinning cosmic string”). The effects on static observers are determined, showing the appearance of a region around the cosmic string, whose features resemble those of the ergosphere of a rotating black hole. A summary and further discussion are presented in the last section.

II - Backreaction around cosmic dislocations.

In order to study semiclassical backreaction of a conformally coupled massless scalar field ϕ on the geometry of a cosmic dislocation [16], it is convenient to consider in Eq. (6) new coordinates, $Z := z + \kappa'\theta$ and Eq. (2),

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - dr^2 - r^2 d\varphi^2 - dZ^2, \quad (9)$$

with $(t, r, \varphi, Z) \sim (t, r, \varphi + 2\pi\alpha, Z + 2\pi\kappa')$. In terms of the local inertial coordinate system in Eq. (9), the general form of the vacuum expectation value of the energy momentum tensor for ϕ is given by [15],

$$\langle T^\mu{}_\nu \rangle(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle T^t_t \rangle & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \langle T^r_r \rangle & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \langle T^\varphi_\varphi \rangle & \langle T^\varphi_Z \rangle \\ 0 & 0 & r^2 \langle T^\varphi_Z \rangle & \langle T^Z_Z \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

with two components related as

$$\langle T^Z_Z \rangle = \langle T^t_t \rangle + \frac{\kappa'^2}{r^6} \hbar f_\alpha(\kappa'/r^2), \quad (11)$$

where $2\pi\hbar$ is the Planck constant, and

$$f_\alpha(x) := -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty d\lambda \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{n^2 [\lambda^2 - \pi^2(4\alpha^2 n^2 - 1)]}{[\pi^2(2\alpha n + 1)^2 + \lambda^2] [\pi^2(2\alpha n - 1)^2 + \lambda^2] [\cosh^2(\lambda/2) + n^2 \pi^2 x]^3}. \quad (12)$$

As $x \rightarrow 0$, $f_\alpha(x) \rightarrow +\infty$; but the divergence is mild since $x f_\alpha(x) \rightarrow 0$ in this limit [14].

When the cosmic dislocation is absent, i.e., $\alpha = 1$ and $\kappa' = 0$, $\langle T^\mu{}_\nu \rangle$ vanishes. If $\kappa'/r \ll 1$, one has approxi-

mately,

$$\langle T^\mu_\nu \rangle(r) = \frac{\hbar}{r^4} \begin{pmatrix} -A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3A & \kappa' B/r^2 \\ 0 & 0 & \kappa' B & -A \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

where $A(\alpha) := (\alpha^{-4} - 1)/1440\pi^2$ and $B(\alpha)$ is defined as in Eq. (20) of [15] [$B(\alpha = 1) = 1/60\pi^2$]. When $\kappa' = 0$, Eq. (13) reduces to the form long known in the literature corresponding to an ordinary cosmic string [3]. Subleading contributions in Eq. (13) must be considered if $\alpha = 1$ and $\kappa' \neq 0$.

It should be remarked that the study of vacuum polarization around a cosmic dislocation has been implemented using the vacuum associated with the time coordinate t in Eq. (6). (In fact, by observing Eqs. (3) and (6), one sees that the generators of translations in τ and in t are globally timelike Killing vector fields that commute, and therefore defining the same vacuum state [20].) This appears to be a natural choice of vacuum, since when $\kappa' = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, the corresponding Feynman propagator becomes the Minkowski propagator [15].

Observing the most general form of a static and cylindrically symmetric line element [21], one now allows quantum perturbations $\gamma_{\mu\nu}(r)$ (which are assumed to be linear in $\hbar$) of the background metric tensor in Eq. (9),

$$ds^2 = (1 + \gamma_{tt})dt^2 + (-1 + \gamma_{rr})dr^2 - r^2 d\varphi^2 + 2\gamma_{Z\varphi}dZd\varphi + (-1 + \gamma_{ZZ})dZ^2, \quad (14)$$

involving four unknown functions of r . Einstein's equations $R^\mu_\nu = -8\pi \langle T^\mu_\nu \rangle$ are then fed with traceless (since spacetime is locally flat) $\langle T^\mu_\nu \rangle$ in Eq. (10), leading to the following set of linearized Einstein's equations

$$r^2 \gamma_{tt,rr} + r \gamma_{tt,r} = 16\pi r^2 \langle T^t_t \rangle, \quad (15)$$

$$r \gamma_{rr,r} + r \gamma_{tt,r} - r \gamma_{ZZ,r} = 16\pi r^2 \langle T^\varphi_\varphi \rangle, \quad (16)$$

$$r^2 \gamma_{\varphi Z,rr} - r \gamma_{\varphi Z,r} = -16\pi r^4 \langle T^\varphi_Z \rangle, \quad (17)$$

$$r^2 \gamma_{ZZ,rr} + r \gamma_{ZZ,r} = -16\pi r^2 \langle T^Z_Z \rangle. \quad (18)$$

The equation involving $\langle T^r_r \rangle$ has been omitted, since it follows from Eqs. (15), (16) and (18), and by considering that $\langle T^\mu_\nu \rangle$ is covariantly conserved.

Eqs. (15), (17) and (18) have the form of Euler's equation $x^2 y'' + axy' = G(x)$, whose general solution can be written as

$$y(x) = c_1 + c_2 x^{1-a} + \frac{1}{a-1} \int_\beta^x \frac{G(u)}{u} \left[1 - \left(\frac{x}{u} \right)^{1-a} \right] du \quad (19)$$

if $a \neq 1$, or

$$y(x) = c_1 + c_2 \log x + \int_\beta^x \frac{G(u)}{u} \log \left(\frac{x}{u} \right) du \quad (20)$$

if $a = 1$. Eqs. (19) and (20) contain arbitrary constants c_1 and c_2 , and a point β that can be conveniently chosen.

The solutions of Eqs. (15) and (18) can be read from Eq. (20),

$$\gamma_{tt} = 16\pi \int_r^\infty u \langle T^t_t \rangle \log \left(\frac{u}{r} \right) du, \quad (21)$$

$$\gamma_{ZZ} = -16\pi \int_r^\infty u \langle T^Z_Z \rangle \log \left(\frac{u}{r} \right) du, \quad (22)$$

where the constants have been found to vanish by applying a dimensional argument used in the backreaction problem around an ordinary cosmic string [4]. To illustrate the procedure, one assumes $c_2 = 0$ but $c_1 \neq 0$. Now, c_1 is dimensionless and linear in $\hbar$, and the only dimensionful parameters in Eqs. (15)-(18) are $\hbar$ and κ' (with units of squared length and length, respectively). It follows that $c_1 = c_o \hbar / \kappa'^2$, where c_o is dimensionless. Clearly that is not acceptable unless c_o vanishes: by setting $\kappa' = 0$, the (finite) results corresponding to an ordinary cosmic string should be reproduced.

Similar considerations regarding Eqs. (17) and (19) lead to

$$\gamma_{\varphi Z} = 8\pi \int_r^\infty u \langle T^\varphi_Z \rangle (r^2 - u^2) du, \quad (23)$$

and combination of Eqs. (21)-(22) yields

$$\gamma_{rr} = 16\pi \int_\infty^r u \left[(\langle T^t_t \rangle + \langle T^Z_Z \rangle) \log \left(\frac{u}{r} \right) + \langle T^\varphi_\varphi \rangle \right] du \quad (24)$$

by solving Eq. (16). It is worth noting that the argument of $\langle T^\mu_\nu \rangle$ in Eqs. (21)-(24) is the integration parameter u , i.e., $\langle T^\mu_\nu \rangle(u)$.

Observing Eq. (11), the following relation arises from Eqs. (21)-(22)

$$\gamma_{tt} + \gamma_{ZZ} = -\kappa'^2 \hbar F_\alpha(\kappa', r), \quad (25)$$

where the function

$$F_\alpha(\kappa', r) := 16\pi \int_r^\infty \frac{1}{u^5} f_\alpha(\kappa'^2/u^2) \log \left(\frac{u}{r} \right) du \quad (26)$$

diverges positively when $\kappa' \rightarrow 0$. However, in the limit when $\kappa' \rightarrow 0$, $\gamma_{tt} = -\gamma_{ZZ}$.

One can check the consistency of these results by taking into Eqs. (21)-(24) the expressions for $\langle T^\mu_\nu \rangle(r)$ in Eq. (13). Performing the integrations, it follows that

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{4\pi A \hbar}{r^2} \right) (dt^2 - dZ^2) - \left(1 + \frac{16\pi A \hbar}{r^2} \right) dr^2 - r^2 d\varphi^2 - \frac{4\pi \kappa' B \hbar}{r^2} d\varphi dZ, \quad (27)$$

reproducing the results in [4, 16].

At this point, it should be stressed that in order the semiclassical scheme, based on the use of the linearized Einstein equations, to make sense the ‘‘perturbations’’ in Eq. (14) must be tiny (i.e., $\gamma_{tt} \ll 1$, $\gamma_{rr} \ll 1$, $\gamma_{ZZ} \ll 1$, and $\gamma_{Z\varphi} \ll \kappa'$ for nonvanishing κ'). Thus, examining Eq. (27), $\hbar/r^2 \ll 1$ is assumed to hold outside the cosmic dislocation.

III - Backreaction around nearly spinning cosmic strings. It follows from Eq. (5) that the inertial coordinate systems in Eqs. (4) and (9) are related by

$$t = \frac{T - v\Xi}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}, \quad Z = \frac{\Xi - vT}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}, \quad v := S/\kappa. \quad (28)$$

Thus the line element in Eq. (14) can be recast as

$$ds^2 = (1 + \gamma_{tt} - S^2 \hbar F_\alpha) dT^2 + (-1 + \gamma_{rr}) dr^2 - r^2 d\varphi^2 - 2(S/\kappa') \gamma_{Z\varphi} dT d\varphi + 2S\kappa \hbar F_\alpha dT d\Xi + 2(\kappa/\kappa') \gamma_{Z\varphi} d\Xi d\varphi + (-1 + \gamma_{ZZ} - S^2 \hbar F_\alpha) d\Xi^2, \quad (29)$$

where Eqs. (7) and (25) have been used. For given values of the parameters κ and S , when $r \rightarrow \infty$ the line element in Eq. (29) reduces to the flat form in Eq. (4), as can be seen from the expressions for $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ and F_α in the previous section.

To obtain quantum corrections in the coordinate system $\{\tau, r, \theta, \xi\}$ of Eq. (3), one simply replaces in Eq. (29) dT , $d\varphi$ and $d\Xi$ by $d\tau + Sd\theta$, $\alpha d\theta$ and $d\xi + \kappa d\theta$, respectively [see text just before Eq. (4)]. An observer that moves at most axially (i.e., with $dr = 0$ and $d\theta = 0$) has $ds^2 > 0$ given by

$$ds^2 = (1 + \gamma_{tt} - S^2 \hbar F_\alpha) d\tau^2 + 2S\kappa \hbar F_\alpha d\tau d\xi + (-1 + \gamma_{ZZ} - S^2 \hbar F_\alpha) d\xi^2. \quad (30)$$

If the observer is at rest, it follows from Eq. (30) that $ds^2 = (1 + \gamma_{tt} - S^2 \hbar F_\alpha) d\tau^2$. By letting S grow toward $\kappa \neq 0$, the latter kept fixed, and recalling that $\gamma_{tt} \rightarrow -4\pi A \hbar / r^2$ and $F_\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$ when $\kappa' \rightarrow 0$ [see Eqs. (7), (26) and (27)], it becomes clear that there is a value of S above which ds^2 becomes negative, and therefore the observer cannot remain at rest (static). In the region defined by

$$1 + \gamma_{tt}(r) - S^2 \hbar F_\alpha(\kappa', r) < 0 \quad (31)$$

(and at its surface) there is no static observers, resembling in this sense the ergosphere of a rotating black hole (see, e.g., [22]) — the time translation Killing vector field $\chi^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ is not timelike, i.e.,

$$\chi^2 := \chi^\mu \chi_\mu = 1 + \gamma_{tt} - S^2 \hbar F_\alpha < 0. \quad (32)$$

Since $F_\alpha(\kappa', r)$ is a decreasing function of r , as S approaches κ (i.e., $\kappa' \rightarrow 0$) the ergoregion defined in Eq. (31) widens indefinitely throughout the space.

In order to study further the properties of the ergoregion, the axial velocity of an observer,

$$V := \frac{d\xi}{d\tau}, \quad (33)$$

is used to rewrite Eq. (30) as $ds^2 = P d\tau^2$, where

$$P(V) := 1 + \gamma_{tt} - S^2 \hbar F_\alpha + 2S\kappa \hbar F_\alpha V + (-1 + \gamma_{ZZ} - S^2 \hbar F_\alpha) V^2. \quad (34)$$

As $\gamma_{ZZ} \ll 1$, the coefficient $-1 + \gamma_{ZZ} - S^2 \hbar F_\alpha$ is negative, resulting that V must be between the roots of $P(V)$, such that $ds^2 > 0$:

$$V_- < V < V_+ \quad (35)$$

with

$$V_\pm = \frac{S\kappa \hbar F_\alpha \pm \sqrt{(1 - \gamma_{ZZ})(1 + \gamma_{tt})}}{1 - \gamma_{ZZ} + S^2 \hbar F_\alpha}. \quad (36)$$

In deriving $V_\pm$ in Eq. (36), Eq. (25) has been used.

Observing the expressions in the previous section, one sees from Eq. (36) that far away from the cosmic string (i.e., when $r \rightarrow \infty$) $V_\pm \rightarrow \pm 1$, the usual Minkowski limits in both directions. Inside the ergoregion, it follows from Eqs. (7), (25) and (31) that $1 - \gamma_{ZZ} - k^2 \hbar F_\alpha < 0$. This inequality combined with that in Eq. (31) leads to $V_- > 0$, i.e., both V_+ and V_- are positive — in the ergoregion the observer must be moving in the positive direction. By letting $S \rightarrow \kappa$ in Eq. (36), it results that V_- and V_+ tend to merge to unity: $V_\pm \rightarrow 1$ as $\kappa' \rightarrow 0$.

Other properties of the ergoregion are revealed by considering the energy $E = mg_{\tau\mu} dx^\mu / ds$ of a particle of mass m (see, e.g., [23]) constrained to move axially, and thus with proper time given by Eq. (30),

$$E(V) = \frac{m}{\sqrt{P(V)}} (1 + \gamma_{tt} - S^2 \hbar F_\alpha + S\kappa \hbar F_\alpha V). \quad (37)$$

If the particle travels with speed

$$V_o := \frac{V_+ + V_-}{2} = \frac{S\kappa \hbar F_\alpha}{1 - \gamma_{ZZ} + S^2 \hbar F_\alpha}, \quad (38)$$

it results that

$$E(V_o) = m\sqrt{P(V_o)}, \quad (39)$$

where Eq. (34) has been used. Noting Eq (25), one obtains

$$P(V_o) = \frac{(1 - \gamma_{ZZ})(1 + \gamma_{tt})}{1 - \gamma_{ZZ} + S^2 \hbar F_\alpha}. \quad (40)$$

When $r \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from Eqs. (38)-(40) that $E \rightarrow m$, the usual particle rest energy corresponding to $V_o \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, when r is such that the particle is in the ergoregion, $S \rightarrow \kappa$ yields $E(V_o) \rightarrow 0$ and $V_o \rightarrow 1$.

One can also derive from Eq. (37) that outside the ergoregion, where $V_- < 0$, $E(V \rightarrow V_\pm) \rightarrow +\infty$. And inside the ergoregion, where $V_\pm > 0$, $E(V \rightarrow V_\pm) \rightarrow \pm\infty$, vanishing when $V = (-1 - \gamma_{tt} + S^2 \hbar F_\alpha) / S\kappa \hbar F_\alpha$.

Although this section addresses pure axial motion only, a rather straightforward calculation shows that an observer cannot have pure radial motion in the ergoregion. And, if the observer has initially pure circular motion in the ergoregion, it will eventually become helical as $S \rightarrow \kappa$.

IV - Conclusion. In this work, semiclassical backreaction on the metric tensor in Eq. (3) was determined, by extending a previous calculation on the geometrical background of a cosmic dislocation, Eq. (6). When $S > \kappa$ in Eq. (3), the corresponding spacetime is nonglobally hyperbolic, since it contains CTCs. It was shown that when S approaches κ from below, due to backreaction, a cylindrical ergoregion spreads around the “nearly spinning cosmic string”, eventually covering the whole space. This is encapsulated in the rather unexpected fact that $\chi^2 = 1$ before backreaction is taken into account, whereas after backreaction is taken into account [cf. Eq. (32)],

$$\chi^2 \rightarrow -\infty, \quad (41)$$

as $S \rightarrow \kappa$ (for a fixed r).

In the coordinate system of Eq. (3), Eq. (41) [which obviously is a coordinate independent statement] is interpreted as strong backreaction effects, on the background metric tensor, resulting from amplifications of the weak quantum corrections $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ in Eq. (14). Expressions such as Eqs. (11) and (25) are not affected by the divergence of $f_\alpha(x)$ [cf. Eq. (12)] due to the factor κ'^2 (since the divergence is weaker than $1/x$). However, the transformation (5) “replaces” κ'^2 by S^2 , κ^2 or κS , exposing the divergence which causes the strong backreaction effects on the metric tensor in Eq. (3), when $S \rightarrow \kappa$. This divergence is also responsible for the divergent $\langle T^\mu{}_\nu \rangle$, as has been shown in [14].

Before backreaction is considered, by letting S in Eq. (3) grow toward κ , and eventually becoming greater than κ , it follows that a static observer would see the transition between the two non equivalent geometries in Eqs. (6) and (8), simulating the appearance of a “time machine”. After backreaction is considered in the geometry of Eq. (3), the picture changes radically. For a fixed r , Eq. (30) shows that the metric tensor diverges as $S \rightarrow \kappa$. Moreover, static observers are only possible outside the ergoregion, which as $S \rightarrow \kappa$ widens indefinitely across the space, dragging along the cosmic string, in the positive direction, the once static observers. This new picture seems to suggest that no observer would detect the appearance of a “time machine”. In other words, in related non stationary geometries, the ergoregion and its associated strong effects would be part of a chronology protection mechanism.

Some remarks regarding the coordinate systems in Eqs. (3) and (6) are in order. Recalling that the Killing vector field χ^μ is the generator of translations in the time τ (and not in the time t), it should be clear that the dragging of static observers by the ergoregion takes place only in the coordinate system $\{\tau, r, \theta, \xi\}$ [see Eq. (30)]. In the coordinate system of Eq. (6), backreaction effects when $S \rightarrow \kappa$ are those (tiny effects) around an ordinary cosmic string [obtained by setting $\kappa' = 0$ and $d\varphi = ad\theta$ in Eq. (27)], resulting that the generator of translations in t remains globally timelike, and observers once at rest

can stay at rest. Note, however, that any coordinate system does have its own interpretation of the ergoregion, namely, an observer initially following an integral curve of χ^μ will depart from it where $\chi^2 \leq 0$.

It should be stressed that the static geometry of Eq. (6) is not equivalent to the stationary (but not static) geometry of Eq. (8). If one wishes to simulate a non stationary scenario where a transition possibly takes place from Eq. (6) to Eq. (8), then the natural setting to do so is Eq. (3) which describes either geometries.

VADL and ESM are grateful, respectively, to Sergio Ferrara (Theory Division at CERN) and to Chris Hull (Theory Group at Imperial College London) for their hospitality. This work was partially supported by the research agencies CNPq, CAPES and FAPEMIG.

* Electronic address: delorenci@unifei.edu.br

† Electronic address: moreira@unifei.edu.br

- [1] A. Vilenkin and E. P. S. Shellard, *Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1994).
- [2] T. W. B. Kibble, arXiv:astro-ph/0410073; A. Vilenkin, arXiv:hep-th/0508135.
- [3] J. S. Dowker, J. Phys. A **10**, 115 (1977); T. M. Helliwell and D. A. Konkowski, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 1918 (1986); B. Linet, *ibid.* **35**, 536 (1987); V. P. Frolov and E. M. Serebriany, *ibid.* **35**, 3779 (1987); J. S. Dowker, *ibid.* **36**, 3095 (1987); *ibid.* **36**, 3742 (1987); A. G. Smith, in *The Formation and Evolution of Cosmic Strings*, Proceedings of the Cambridge Workshop, Cambridge, England, edited by G. W. Gibbons, S. W. Hawking, and T. Vachaspati (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1990).
- [4] W. A. Hiscock, Phys. Lett. B **188**, 317 (1987).
- [5] V. B. Bezerra and N. R. Khusnutdinov, Class. Quantum Grav. **23**, 3449 (2006); E. R. Bezerra de Mello and A. A. Saharian, Phys. Lett. B **642**, 129 (2006); E. R. Bezerra de Mello, V. B. Bezerra, A. A. Saharian, and A. S. Tarloyan, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 025017 (2006); E. R. Bezerra de Mello, V. B. Bezerra, and A. A. Saharian, Phys. Lett. B **645**, 245 (2007); J. Spinelly and E. R. Bezerra de Mello, JHEP **09**, 005 (2008); E. R. Bezerra de Mello and A. A. Saharian, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 045021 (2008); E. R. Bezerra de Mello, V. B. Bezerra, A. A. Saharian, and A. S. Tarloyan, *ibid.* **78**, 105007 (2008).
- [6] W. A. Hiscock, Phys. Rev. D **31**, 3288 (1985).
- [7] E. Kröner, in *Continuum Theory of Defects — Physics of Defects*, Proceedings of the XXXV Les Houches Session 1980, edited by R. Balian *et al.* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1981); M. O. Katanaev and I. V. Volovich, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **216**, 1 (1992); R. A. Puntigam and H. H. Soleng, Class. Quant. Grav. **14**, 1129 (1997); F. Moraes, Braz. J. Phys. **30**, 304 (2000).
- [8] P. O. Mazur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 929 (1986).
- [9] D. V. Gal'tsov and P. S. Letelier, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 4273 (1993).
- [10] K. P. Tod, Class. Quantum Grav. **11**, 1331 (1994); D. A. Konkowski and T. M. Helliwell, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **38**, 1069 (2006); S. Krasnikov, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 024010 (2007).

- (2007).
- [11] S. Deser, R. Jackiw, and G. 't Hooft, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **152**, 220 (1984); G. Clément, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **24**, 267 (1985).
 - [12] M. Visser, arXiv:gr-qc/0204022.
 - [13] S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 603 (1992).
 - [14] V. A. De Lorenci and E. S. Moreira, Jr., Phys. Rev. D **70**, 047502 (2004).
 - [15] V. A. De Lorenci and E. S. Moreira, Jr., Phys. Rev. D **67**, 124002 (2003).
 - [16] V. A. De Lorenci, R. Klippert and E. S. Moreira, Jr., Phys. Rev. D **71**, 024005 (2005).
 - [17] G. E. A. Matsas, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 2927 (1990); B. Jensen and J. Kučera, J. Math. Phys. **34**, 4975 (1993); V. A. De Lorenci, R. D. M. De Paola and N. F. Svaiter, Class. Quantum Grav. **16**, 3047 (1999); V. A. De Lorenci and E. S. Moreira, Jr., Phys. Rev. D **65**, 107503 (2002).
 - [18] V. A. De Lorenci and E. S. Moreira, Jr., Phys. Rev. D **63**, 027501 (2000).
 - [19] P. S. Gerbert and R. Jackiw, Commun. Math. Phys. **124**, 229 (1989).
 - [20] P. Chmielowski, Class. Quantum Grav. **11**, 41 (1994).
 - [21] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. A. H. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers and E. Herlt, *Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2003).
 - [22] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, (W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1973).
 - [23] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, England, 1975).